Rashtreeya Sikshana Samithi Trust

R.V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru

(Autonomous Institution Affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi)



Master of Technology (M. Tech.) Software Engineering

Scheme and Syllabus Autonomous System w.e.f 2016

R.V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru – 59 (Autonomous Institution Affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University,, Belagavi) Department of Information Science and Engineering

Vision:

To be the hub for innovation in Information Science & Engineering through Teaching, Research, Development and Consultancy; thus make the department a global resource center in advanced, sustainable and inclusive technology.

Mission:

- 1. To enable students to become responsible professionals, strong in fundamentals of information science and engineering through experiential learning
- 2. To bring research and entrepreneurship into class rooms by continuous design of innovative solutions through research publications and dynamic development oriented curriculum.
- 3. To facilitate continuous interaction with the outside world through student internship, faculty consultancy, workshops, faculty development programmes, industry collaboration and association with the professional societies.
- 4. To create a new generation of entrepreneurial problem solvers for a sustainable future through green technology with an emphasis on ethical practices, inclusive societal concerns and environment
- 5. To promote team work through inter-disciplinary projects, co-curricular and social activities.

Program Educational Objectives (PEO)

M. Tech. in Software Engineering Program, Students will be able to:

PEO1: Design, build and evaluate software systems of varying complexity based on client's requirements.

- **PEO2**: Apply the knowledge of Software Engineering to configure, package and deliver solutions for different sectors like ERP, Web technology.
- **PEO3**: Apply the skills in clear communication, responsible teamwork, and time management for working on multidisciplinary project.

Program Outcomes (PO)

- M. Tech. in Software Engineering Students will be able to:
- **PO 1: Scholarship of Knowledge** -Acquire in-depth knowledge of Software Engineering process, including wider and global perspective, with an ability to discriminate, evaluate, analyze and synthesize existing and new knowledge, and integration of the same for enhancement of knowledge.
- **PO 2: Critical Thinking** Analyse complex Software Engineering related problems, apply independent judgement for synthesizing information to make intellectual and/or creative advances for conducting research in a wider theoretical, practical and policy context.
- **PO 3 : Problem Solving** Think laterally and originally, conceptualise and solve issues related to Software Engineering, evaluate a wide range of potential solutions for those problems and arrive at feasible, optimal solutions after considering public health and safety, cultural, societal and environmental factors in the core areas of expertise.
- **PO 4: Research Skill** Extract information pertinent to unfamiliar problems in Software Engineering domain through literature survey and experiments, apply appropriate research methodologies, techniques and tools, design, conduct experiments, analyse and interpret data, demonstrate higher order skill and view things in a broader perspective, contribute individually/in group(s) to the development of scientific/technological knowledge in one or more domains of engineering.
- **PO 5: Usage of modern tools** Create, select, learn and apply appropriate techniques, resources, and modern engineering and IT tools of Software Engineering, including prediction and modelling, to complex engineering activities with an understanding of the limitations.
- **PO 6: Collaborative and Multidisciplinary work** Possess knowledge and understanding of group dynamics, recognise opportunities and contribute positively to collaborative-multidisciplinary scientific research in Software Engineering,

demonstrate a capacity for self-management and teamwork, decision-making based on open-mindedness, objectivity and rational analysis in order to achieve common goals and further the learning of themselves as well as others.

- **PO 7: Project Management and Finance** Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of Software Engineering principles and apply the same to one's own work, as a member and leader in a team, manage projects efficiently in respective disciplines and multidisciplinary environments after consideration of economical and financial factors.
- **PO 8: Communication** Communicate with the Software Engineering community, and with society at large, regarding complex engineering activities confidently and effectively, such as, being able to comprehend and write effective reports and design documentation by adhering to appropriate standards, make effective presentations, and give and receive clear instructions.
- **PO 9: Life-long Learning** Recognize the need for, and have the preparation and ability to engage in life-long learning independently in Software Engineering domain, with a high level of enthusiasm and commitment to improve knowledge and competence continuously.
- **PO 10: Ethical Practices and Social Responsibility** Acquire professional and intellectual integrity, professional code of conduct, ethics of research and scholarship, consideration of the impact of research outcomes on professional practices and an understanding of responsibility to contribute to the community for sustainable development of society using Software Engineering solutions.
- **PO 11: Independent and Reflective Learning** Observe and examine critically the outcomes of one's actions and make corrective measures subsequently, and learn from mistakes in project and professional practice without depending on external feedback.

Program Specific Outcomes (PSO)

- M. Tech. in Software Engineering Students will be able to:
- **PSO 1.** Design, develop and deliver complex, scalable and cost effective software systems by applying Software Engineering principles, tools and processes.
- **PSO 2.** Comprehend the role and responsibilities of the professional software engineer with importance to quality and management issues involved in software construction

R. V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru – 59.

(An Autonomous Institution Affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University,, Belagavi) Department of Information Science and Engineering

M.Tech. in Software Engineering

| FIRST SEMESTER | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----|---------|----------|-----------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | BoS | | CREDIT | ALLOCATI | ON | | | | |
| SI. | Course | | | Lecture | Tutorial | Practical | Experiential | Total | | | |
| No | Code | Course Title | | | | | Learning/ | Credits | | | |
| | | | | | | | Self Study | | | | |
| | | | | L | Т | Р | S | | | | |
| 1 | 16MEM11R | Research Methodology | IM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | |
| 2 | 16MSE12 / | Data Engineering | IS | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| | 16MIT12 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 16MSE13 | Advanced Data Structure and | IS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | | | |
| | | Algorithm | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 16MSE14 | Software Architecture and | IS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | |
| | | Design | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 16MSE15X | Elective – 1 | IS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | |
| 6 | 16HSS16 | Professional Skill Development | | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | | | |
| | | Total | | 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 24 | | | |
| | | Number of contact hours | | 19 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 27 | | | |

| | Elective -1 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 16MSE151 | Advanced Web Programming | 16MSE152/16MIT15 | Human Computer Interaction | | | | | | | |
| | | 2 | | | | | | | | |

R. V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru – 59.

(An Autonomous Institution Affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University,, Belagavi) Department of Information Science and Engineering

M.Tech. in Software Engineering

| | | SEC | OND S | EMESTEF | ł | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|---|-------|--------------|----------|-----------|--|------------------|
| SI. No | Course Code | Course Code BoS CREDIT ALLOCATION Course Title Image: Comparison of the second | | | | | | Total Credits |
| | | | | Lecture | Tutorial | Practical | Experiential Learning / Self Study | |
| | | | | \mathbf{L} | Т | Р | S | |
| 1 | 16MSE21P | Project Management | IM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2 | 16MSE22/16MIT22 | Cyber security and Digital Forensics | IS | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 3 | 16MSE23X | Elective – 2 | IS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 16MSE24X | Elective – 3 | IS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 16MSE25X | Elective – 4 | IS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 6 | 16MSE26 | Minor Project | | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| | | Total | | 19 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 26 |
| | | Number of contact hours | | 19 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 23 |

| Elective -2 | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 16MSE231 | SE231 Simulation and Modelling | | | | | | | | | |
| | Simulation and Modelling | 2 | Computer Systems Performance Analysis | | | | | | | |
| Elective – 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16MSE241 | Software Reliability and Fault | 16MSE242 | Metrics and Models in Software | | | | | | | |
| | Tolerant Systems | 101015E242 | Engineering | | | | | | | |
| Elective – 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16MSE251/16MIT25 | Advanced Computer Networks | 16MSE252/16MIT252 | Distributed Computing | | | | | | | |

| 1 | | |
|----------|--|--|
| | | |
| ⊥ | | |
| | | |

R. V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru – 59.

(An Autonomous Institution affiliated to VTU, Belagavi)

Department of Information Science and Engineering

| THIRD SEMESTER | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|
| SI. | Course Code | Course Title | BoS | | CREDIT A | LLOCATI | ON | Total | | | |
| No | | | | Lecture | Tutorial | Practica | Experiential | Credits | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | Learning/ | | | | |
| | | | | L | Т | | Self Study | | | | |
| | | | | | | Р | S | | | | |
| 1 | 16MSE31 | Software Quality Assurance | ISE | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| | | and Testing | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 16MSE32X | Elective – 5 | ISE | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | |
| 3 | 16MSE33X | Elective – 6 | ISE | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | |
| 4 | 16MSE34X | Elective – 7 | ISE | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | |
| 5 | 16MSE35 | Internship / Industrial | ISE | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | | | |
| | | Training | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 16MSE36 | Technical Seminar IS | | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | | | |
| | | Total | | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 22 | | | |
| | | Number of Contact Hours | | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 22 | | | |

M.Tech in Software Engineering

| Elective -5 | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 16MSE321/16MIT32 | Soft Computing | 16MSE322/16MIT32 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Soft Computing | 2 | Social Network Analysis | | | | | | | |
| Elective – 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16MSE331/16MIT33 | | 16MSE332/16MIT33 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | IoT and Cloud Computing | 2 | Big Data Analytics | | | | | | | |
| Elective-7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16MSE341 | Enterprise Application Programming | 16MSE342 | Agile Methodology | | | | | | | |

R. V. College of Engineering, Bengaluru – 59.

(An Autonomous Institution Affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University,, Belagavi) Department of Information Science and Engineering

M.Tech. in Software Engineering

| | FOURTH SEMESTER | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------|---------------|-----|---------|-----------------|----------|-------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | CREDIT / | ALLOCAT | ION | Total | | | | |
| SI. | Course Code | Course Title | BoS | Lecture | Tutorial | Practica | Experientia | Credits | | | | |
| | | | DUC | | | 1 | l Learning/ | Creates | | | | |
| No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Self Study | | | | | |
| | | | | L | Т | | S | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Р | | | | | | |
| 1 | 16MSE41 | Major Project | IS | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 26 | | | | |
| 2 | 16MSE42 | Seminar | IS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | | | | |
| | | Total | | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | | | | |

| | TER | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Course Title : Software Quality | • | | | | | | | | |
| (Theory and Practice) | | | | | | | | | |
| ourse Code: 16MSE31 | CIE Marks: 100 + 50 | | | | | | | | |
| rs/Week: L:T:P:S: 4-0-1-0 | SEE Marks: 100 + 50 | | | | | | | | |
| redits:05 | SEE Duration: 3 Hrs | | | | | | | | |
| Course Learning Objectives: The students will be able to | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Interpret the goals of software testing. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Analyze and design various tools which can | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Apply various concept of software qu environment. | ality standards for establishin | g quality | | | | | | | |
| 4 Demonstrate and evaluate the procedures for | improving the quality Models. | | | | | | | | |
| UNIT-I | | | | | | | | | |
| Introduction: Meeting People's Quality Expectations, Dependency and Suggested Usage, Problems. What Is Software Quality? Quality: Perspectives and Expectations, Quality Frameworks and ISO-9126,Correctness and Defects: Definitions, Properties, and Measurements, A Historical Perspective of Quality, Problems. Quality Assurance: Classification: Defect Prevention, Defect Containment. | | | | | | | | | |
| UNIT-II | | | | | | | | | |
| uality Assurance in Context: Handling Discovered A Activities in Software Processes. Verification econciling the Two Views. Concluding Remarks. Pre- uality Engineering: Activities and Process. Quality rategy Formation. Quality Assessment and Improv- oftware Processes. Problems. Testing: Concep urposes, Activities, Processes, and Context. Fun- overage-Based vs. Usage-Based Testing: Problems In Automation: Test planning and preparation, Te- ad Measurement, Analysis and Follow-up. Activities utomation. | and Validation Perspectives. oblems. Quality Engineering. y Planning: Goal Setting and ement. Quality Engineering in s, Issues, and Techniques: ctional vs. Structural Testing, Test activities, Management st Execution, Result Checking, | 09 Hrs | | | | | | | |
| UNIT-III | | | | | | | | | |
| Perspective on Testing: Basic Definitions, Testiagram, Identifying Test Cases, Errors and Fault 7 eneralized Pseudocode , The Triangle Problem, ommission Problem, The SATM System, The Vindshield Wiper Controller, Boundary Value Test esting, Robust Boundary Value Testing, Worst-Coecial Value Testing, Examples. | axonomies , Levels of Testing, The NextDate Function, The Currency Converter, Saturn ing , Normal Boundary Value | 09 Hrs | | | | | | | |
| UNIT-IV | | | | | | | | | |

Department of Information Science and Engineering Software Engineering

| Equivalence Class Testing: Equivalence Classes, Equivalence Class Test Cases for | 09 Hrs | | | | | |
|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| the Triangle Problem , Equivalence Class Test Cases for the NextDate Function, | | | | | | |
| Equivalence Class Test Cases, Equivalence Class Test Cases for the Commission | | | | | | |
| Problem, Decision Table-Based Testing: Decision Tables, Test Cases for the | | | | | | |
| Triangle Problem , Test Cases for the NextDate Function, Test Cases for the | | | | | | |
| Commission Problem, Path Testing : Du-paths for Stocks, Test Coverage Metrics , | | | | | | |
| Basis path testing . | | | | | | |
| - and pair cours. | | | | | | |
| UNIT-V | | | | | | |

Data Flow Testing :, Use Testing , Slice Testing ,Model-Based Testing , Levels of
Testing: Traditional view of testing levels, Alternative life cycle model, The SATM
system, Separating integration and system Testing.09 Hrs

| Expect | ed Course Outcomes: After completing the course, the students will be able to | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| CO1 | Analyze the importance of software quality assurance & testing in software | | | | | | | | | | |
| | development. | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO 2 | Evaluate the concepts of software quality assurance techniques and find their relevance | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of use. | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO 3 | Implement the concepts of software testing and appraise the most appropriate testing | | | | | | | | | | |
| | approaches for a given situation. | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO 4 | Use the principles of testing and develop the necessary test cases in problem solution. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Refer | ence Books | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Jeff Tian : Software Quality Engineering: Testing, Quality Assurance, and Quantifiable | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Improvement, Wiley-IEEE Computer Society Press, February 2005, ISBN: 978-0-471- | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 71345-6. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Paul C. Jorgensen: Software Testing, A Craftsman's Approach, 3rd Edition, Auerbach | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Publications, 2013, ISBN: 9670201785602 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Aditya P Mathur: Foundations of Software Testing, Pearson, 2008. ISBN 9780201515602 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Mauro Pezze, Michal Young: Software Testing and Analysis – Process, Principles and | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Techniques, John Wiley & Sons, 2008, ISBN: 978-81-203-1351-4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Stephen H Khan: Metrics and Models in Software Quality Engineering, Pearson 2nd | | | | | | | | | | |
| | edition 2013, ISBN: 978-81-203-1136-7 | | | | | | | | | | |

Laboratory Component:

Students are expected to analyze the following problems with respect to software testing and identify all necessary test cases.

- 1. Design, develop, code and run the program in any suitable language to solve the **commission problem**. Analyze it from the perspective of dataflow testing, derive at least 10 different test cases, execute these test cases and discuss the test results.
- 2. Design, develop, code and run the program in any suitable language to solve the **NextDate problem**. Analyze it from the perspective of decision table-based testing, derive at least 10 different test cases, execute these test cases and discuss the test results.

- 3. Design, develop, code and run the program in any suitable object-oriented language to solve the **calendar problem**. Analyze it from the perspective of OO testing, derive test cases to test the method that increment the date and the method that increments the month., execute these test cases and discuss the test results.
- 4. Design, develop, code and run the program in any suitable object-oriented language to solve the **currency converter problem**. Analyze it from the perspective of use case-based system testing, derive appropriate system test cases., execute these test cases and discuss the test results.

A report of these problem solutions need to be prepared for realizing the importance of software testing.

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE) for Theory

CIE will consist of TWO Tests, TWO Quizzes and ONE assignment. The test will be for 30 marks each and the quiz for 10 marks each. The assignment will be for 20 marks. The total marks for CIE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE) for Practical

CIE for the practical courses will be based on the performance of the student in the laboratory, every week. The laboratory records will be evaluated for 40 marks. One test will be conducted for 10 marks. The total marks for CIE (Practical) will be for 50 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE) for Theory

The question paper will have FIVE questions with internal choice from each unit. Each question will carry 20 marks. Student will have to answer one question from each unit. The total marks for SEE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE) for Practical

SEE for the practical courses will be based on conducting the experiments and proper results for 40 marks and 10 marks for viva-voce. The total marks for SEE (Practical) will be 50 marks.

| | CO-PO MAPPING | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|------|----|----|----|----|
| CO/PO | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | РО | PO 8 | PO | PO | РО | PO |
| | | | | | | | 7 | | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| CO1 | Μ | L | М | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Μ |
| CO2 | Μ | - | - | - | - | L | - | - | - | - | - | Μ |
| CO3 | Μ | L | Μ | - | Μ | - | М | - | - | Μ | Μ | M |
| CO4 | Н | Η | Н | Н | Н | - | Μ | - | L | Н | - | Н |

High-3: Medium-2: low-1

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | М | М |
| CO2 | М | М |
| CO3 | L | М |
| CO4 | М | М |

| | | Soft Co | mputing | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|---------------------|----------|---|
| Course Code | : | 16MSE321/16MIT321 | CIE Marks | : | : | 100 |
| Hrs/Week | : | L:T:P:S 4:0:0:0 | SEE Marks | : | : | 100 |
| Credits | : | 4 | SEE Duration | : | : | 3 Hrs |
| Students shall t 1. Design learn 2. Apply fuzzy 3. Analyze fuzz | be a ing log zy n | algorithms using neural netwo ic to solve real world problems euro systems lgorithm to solve optimization | | | | |
| | | Unit – I | | | | 0 Hr: |
| Neural Netwo Neurons, ANN | | s: History, overview of biolo hitecture Unit – II | | nat | ica | al Models o |
| | | 0mt - 11 | | | | H |
| Fuzzy Logic: 1 | Intr | tificial Neural Networks. Unit – III oduction to Fuzzy Logic, Class tion, Fuzzy rule generation. | sical and Fuzzy Sets: Overview | <i>N</i> 0 | f (| 0 H1 Classical Sets |
| Membership Fi | | | _ | | | |
| | | Unit – IV | | | | 1 Hı |
| Complement, I Numbers, Ling Numbers, Fuz | nte juis zy guis | zzy Sets: Fuzzy Arithmetic, Fu rsections, Unions, Combination tic Variables, Arithmetic Opera Equations. Classical Logic, M stic Hedges. Information & Un y Sets. | ns of Operations, Aggregation ations on Intervals & Number Multivalued Logics, Fuzzy P | Op rs, I Prop | la La | rations. Fuzz ttice of Fuzz sitions, Fuzz |
| | | Unit – V | | | | 0 |
| Fuzzy Logic: M Genetic Algori Genetic Algorit | /led ith thm | | - | | | |
| CO1: Apply fu CO2: Analyze | oug zzy gen | : In this course the student will b logic and reasoning to handle etic algorithms to combinatoria use existing software tools t | incertainty and solve engineer l optimization problems | _ | - | |

CO4: Evaluate and compare solutions by various soft computing approaches for a given problem.

| | Ref | erence Books |
|----|-----|--|
| | 1. | Anderson, James a., An Introduction to Neural Networks, ISBN: 978-81-203-1351-4, PHI, 2008 |
| | | |
| 2. | | Hertz J. Krogh, R.G. Palmer - Introduction to the Theory of Neural Computation, Addison- |
| | | Wesley, 1991, ISBN: 9780201515602 |
| 3. | | G.J. Klir& B. Yuan - Fuzzy Sets & Fuzzy Logic, PHI, 2006, ISBN: 978-81-203-1136-7 |
| 4. | | Melanie Mitchell - An Introduction to Genetic Algorithm, PHI, 2006 ISBN: 9670201785602 |
| | | |

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE)

CIE will consist of TWO Tests, TWO Quizzes and ONE assignment. The test will be for 30 marks each and the quiz for 10 marks each. The assignment will be for 20 marks. The total marks for CIE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE)

The question paper will have FIVE questions with internal choice from each unit. Each question will carry 20 marks. Student will have to answer one question from each unit. The total marks for SEE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO | L | - | - | Н | - | - | - | М | М | - | - |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | M | М | - | Н | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Μ | М | - | Н | - | - | M | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | - | - | Μ | Н | Н | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | М |
| CO2 | М | М |
| CO3 | Н | М |
| CO4 | Н | Н |

| | Social Ne | twork Analysis | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Course Code | : 16MSE322/16MIT322 | CIE Marks | : | 100 |
| Hrs/Week | : L:T:P:S 4:0:0:0 | SEE Marks | : | 100 |
| Credits | : 4 | SEE Duration | : | 3 Hrs |
| | ng Objectives (CLO): | | | |
| Graduates shal | | , | | |
| - | inciples behind network analysi | 6 | | |
| * | ential knowledge of network and | 0 | lic | |
| 110 | ▲ | oday's most popular social networ plicability of social network theory | | |
| | plogical phenomena | pheability of social network incory | y 10 | |
| | | | | 1 |
| | Unit - | - I | | |
| T / 1 / | | | T . | |
| | | ial Network, Securing Social N | | |
| | | etworks, Details of Four Popular | | |
| | | ntroduction, Applications in Social | | |
| | | | | |
| | echniques for SNA, Security a | | | |
| Representatio | n and Analysis : Introduction | n, Social Network Representation | | |
| | n and Analysis : Introduction | n, Social Network Representation | | n approach to |
| Representatio Social Networl | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit - | n, Social Network Representation - II | ı, Aı | n approach to 09 Hrs |
| Representatio Social Networl | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining : Key Aspects of Location | ı, Ar Min | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir |
| Representatio Social Network | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining : Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity | n, An Min and | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship |
| Representatio Social Network | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining : Key Aspects of Location | n, An Min and nts | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship |
| Representatio Social Network Developments Location Min TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics a: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Exp | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining : Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I | Min Min and its Tv | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia |
| Representatio Social Network Developments Location Min TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines Cliques for I | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Exponent ocation Mining : Effect of | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining : Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minim | Min Min and its Tv I den ng, | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique |
| Representation Social Network Developments Location Minn TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expense ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, 1 | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining : Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomera | Min Min and its Tv I den ng, | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique |
| Representation Social Network Developments Location Minn TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expo ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minim Location Prediction, Agglomera tructured Text | Min Min and its Tv I den ng, | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique Hierarchica |
| Representatio Social Netword Developments Location Min TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expense ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, 1 | n, Social Network Representation - II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minim Location Prediction, Agglomera tructured Text | Min Min and its Tv I den ng, | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique Hierarchica |
| Representatio Social Networl Developments Location Min TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expe- ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – | A. Social Network Representation II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomera tructured Text III | Min Anu Min S Tv Iden ng, ative | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique Hierarchica 10 Hrs |
| Representatio Social Network Developments Location Min TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expo ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – of Social Networks Incorp | A, Social Network Representation - II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minim Location Prediction, Agglomerative tructured Text III Dorating Link Types : Related | I, AI Min and Its Tv Iden ng, ative | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique Hierarchica 10 Hierarchica |
| Representation Social Netword Developments Location Min TweetHood: TweetHood: Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma Classification Methods, Exp | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics a: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expe- ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – of Social Networks Incorp eriments. Extending Classif | A. Social Network Representation II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomera tructured Text III Dorating Link Types : Related fication of Social Networks t | Min Min nts Tv Iden ng, ntive | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique Hierarchica 10 Hrs ork, Learning ugh Indirect |
| Representatio Social Netword Developments Location Min TweetHood: TweetHood: Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma Classification Methods, Exp Friendships: I | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expo ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – of Social Networks Incorp eriments. Extending Classif ntroduction., Related Work, De | A. Social Network Representation II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomera tructured Text III Dorating Link Types : Related fication of Social Networks to efinitions, Approach used, Experiments | I, An Min and Its Tv Iden ng, itive | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique Hierarchica 10 Hrs ork, Learning ugh Indirect s and Results |
| Representatio Social Netword Developments Location Min TweetHood: Tweecalization Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma Classification Methods, Exp Friendships: I Social Netwo | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using s and Similarity Measure, Expe- ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – of Social Networks Incorp eriments. Extending Classifi ntroduction., Related Work, De- rk Classification through D | A, Social Network Representation - II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomeration tructured Text III porating Link Types : Related fication of Social Networks to efinitions, Approach used, Experim- Data Partitioning : Introduction | I, AI Min and its Tv Iden ng, ative | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Socia Social Clique Hierarchica United Clique Hierarchica Social Clique Hierarchica Social Clique Hierarchica Social Clique Hierarchica Social Clique Hierarchica Social Clique Hierarchica Social Clique Hierarchica |
| Representatio Social Netword Developments Location Min TweetHood: TweetHood: Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma Classification Methods, Exp Friendships: I Social Netwo Metrics, Distri | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using and Similarity Measure, Expe- ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – of Social Networks Incorp eriments. Extending Classifi ntroduction., Related Work, De- rk Classification through D buted Social Network Classific | A, Social Network Representation - II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomeration tructured Text III Dorating Link Types : Related fication of Social Networks to efinitions, Approach used, Experimenta Cation, Experiments. Implementa | Min And Alian And Alian | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Social Social Clique Hierarchical 0 10 Hrs ork, Learning ugh Indirect s and Results Related Work of an Access |
| Representatio Social Netword Developments Location Min TweetHood: TweetHood: Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma Classification Methods, Exp Friendships: I Social Netwo Metrics, Distri | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using and Similarity Measure, Expe- ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – of Social Networks Incorp eriments. Extending Classifi ntroduction., Related Work, De- rk Classification through D buted Social Network Classific | A, Social Network Representation - II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomeration tructured Text III porating Link Types : Related fication of Social Networks to efinitions, Approach used, Experim- Data Partitioning : Introduction | Min And Alian And Alian | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Social Social Clique Hierarchical 0 10 Hrs ork, Learning ugh Indirect s and Results Related Work of an Access |
| Representatio Social Netword Developments Location Min TweetHood: TweetHood: Trustworthines Cliques for I Identification, Clustering, Ma Classification Methods, Exp Friendships: I Social Netwo Metrics, Distri Control Syste | n and Analysis : Introduction Analysis Unit – and Challenges in Location M ing, Challenges in Location A Social Media Analytics I: Location Mining Using and Similarity Measure, Expe- ocation Mining : Effect of Experiments and Results, I plt: Location Mining from Unst Unit – of Social Networks Incorp eriments. Extending Classifi ntroduction., Related Work, De- rk Classification through D buted Social Network Classific | A, Social Network Representation -II Mining: Key Aspects of Location Mining, Geospatial Proximity Tool: TweetHood, Experiments Semisupervised Learning : eriments and Results .Tweeque: I Migration, Temporal Data Minin Location Prediction, Agglomeration tructured Text III porating Link Types : Related Fication of Social Networks to efinitions, Approach used, Experim- Data Partitioning : Introduction cation, Experiments. Implementa Security in Online Social Networks | Min And Alian And Alian | n approach to 09 Hrs ing, Efforts ir d Friendship and Results veecalization. tifying Social Social Clique Hierarchical 0 10 Hrs ork, Learning ugh Indirect s and Results Related Work of an Access |

| | cial Media Integration and Analytics Systems : Introduction, Entity Extractive regration, Ontology-Based Heuristic Reasoning . Semantic Web-Based Social N | |
|-----|---|----------|
| | tegration : Information Integration in Social Networks, Jena–HBase: A Distributed, S d Efficient RDF Triple Store, StormRider: Harnessing Storm for Social Networks. | calable, |
| un | $\frac{U - U - V}{U - V}$ | 09 |
| | | Hrs |
| Da | ta Security and Privacy: Security Policies, Policy Enforcement and Related Issue | |
| | wacy .Confidentiality, Privacy, and Trust for Social Media Data : Trust, Priva | |
| | nfidentiality, CPT Framework, Privacy for Social Networks, Trust for Social Network | |
| | thin the Context of Social Networks. Attacks on Social Media and Data Analytics Sol | |
| Ma | alware and Attacks, Attacks on Social Media, Data Analytics Solutions. | |
| Со | ourse Outcomes: | |
| Af | ter going through this course the student will be able to: | |
| | 01: Comprehend basic notation and terminology used in network science. | |
| | 02: Visualize, summarize and compare different networks and its security. | |
| | D3: Use tools to analyze real world networks. | |
| | 04: Use advanced network analysis methods to perform empirical investigations of netwo | ork |
| dat | | |
| | ference Books | |
| 1. | Bhavani Thuraisingham, Satyen Abrol, Raymond Heatherly, Vaibhav Khadilka, "Analy | zing |
| | and Securing Social Networks", CRC Press, ISBN: 9781482243277 | |
| 2. | Albert-Laszlo Barabasi. "Linked. The New Science of Networks", Edition- 2014, IS 978-0738206677 | BN-13: |
| 3. | Charu C Aggarwal, "Social Network Data Analytics", Springer, 2011, 13:9781441984616 | ISBN: |
| 4. | Robert Kabacoff. "R in action. Data Analysis and graphics with R", Manning Publi 2011, ISBN-13: 978-1935182399 | cations, |

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE)

CIE will consist of TWO Tests, TWO Quizzes and ONE assignment. The test will be for 30 marks each and the quiz for 10 marks each. The assignment will be for 20 marks. The total marks for CIE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE)

The question paper will have FIVE questions with internal choice from each unit. Each question will carry 20 marks. Student will have to answer one question from each unit. The total marks for SEE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO | H | М | L | L | L | L | - | - | M | М | М |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | H | М | М | М | L | L | - | L | М | - | Н |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | H | Н | Μ | М | Н | M | - | L | М | Н | Н |

M. Tech -

Department of Information Science and Engineering Software Engineering

| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CO | Н | Н | M | Н | M | Μ | L | L | М | М | Н |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | М | М |
| CO2 | М | Н |
| CO3 | Н | Н |
| CO4 | М | Н |

| | IOT and Cloud Computing | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Course | : | 16MSE331/16MIT331 | | CIE Marks | : | 100 | | | | |
| Code | | | | | _ | 100 | | | | |
| Hrs/Week | : | | | SEE Marks | : | 100 | | | | |
| Credits | : | $\frac{4}{(CLO)}$ | | SEE Duration | : | 3 Hrs | | | | |
| Students sha 1. Interpret 2. Analyze equivaler 3. Apply th | Analyze and design a small low cost embedded system using Arduino / Raspberry Pi or equivalent boards. Apply the concept of Internet of Things in the real world scenario | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Unit | - I | | | 10 Hrs | | | | |
| | | of IoT: Introduction-Chang technologies – IoT Leve | 5 | 0 | | 0 | | | | |
| | | Unit | – II | | | 09 Hrs | | | | |
| 0 | | Aethodology: IoT system ntegration and Application | 0 | nt – IoT Design | Me | thodology – | | | | |
| | | Unit - | - III | | | 10 Hrs | | | | |
| Device Exen Pi Interfaces with Raspbe | npl 5 -S erry | evices & Endpoints: Wha ary Device: Raspberry Pi- erial SPI , I2C, Program Pi, Interfacing an LED a vith Raspberry Pi Other IoT | About the Boar ming Raspberry nd Switch with | rd Linux on Raspbe y Pi with Python , h Raspberry Pi , Ir | erry I Con | Pi Raspberry trolling LED | | | | |
| | | Unit | – IV | | | 10 Hrs | | | | |
| IoT Physical Servers & Cloud Offerings: Designing a RESTful Web API, Amazon Web Services for IoT-Amazon EC2, Amazon AutoScaling, Amazon S3, Amazon RDS, Amazon DynamoDB, Amazon Kinesis, Amazon SQS, Amazon EMR, SkyNetIoT Messaging Platform. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Unit | | | | 09 Hrs | | | | |
| Case Studies- IoT Design and Cloud incorporation: Introduction to IOT Design, Home Automation, Smart Lighting , Home Intrusion Detection, Cities , Smart Parking , Environment , Weather Monitoring System , Weather Reporting Bot , Air Pollution Monitoring , Forest Fire Detection, Agriculture, Smart Irrigation, Productivity Applications , | | | | | | | | | | |
| After going t CO1: Interpr CO2: Desigr CO3: Descri CO4: Identif | Monitoring , Forest Fire Detection, Agriculture, Smart Irrigation, Productivity Applications , IoT Printer. Course Outcomes: After going through this course the student will be able to: CO1: Interpret the essentials of IOT CO2: Design a portable IoT using Arduino/ equivalent boards using relevant protocols CO3: Describe the concept of web services to access/control IoT devices CO4: Identify physical devices required to deploy an IoT application and connect to the cloud for real time scenarios. | | | | | | | | | |

Department of Information Science and Engineering Software Engineering

| Refe | erence Books |
|------|---|
| 1. | Arshdeep Bahga, Vijay Madisetti, "Internet of Things – A hands-on approach", |
| | Universities Press, 2015, ISBN: 978-81-7371-954-7. |
| 2. | Rajkumar Buyya , James Broberg, Andrzej Goscinski: Cloud Computing Principles and |
| | Paradigms, Willey 2014. |
| 3. | Honbo Zhou, "The Internet of Things in the Cloud: A Middleware Perspective" ,CRC |
| | Press 2013, ISBN : 978-1-4398-9299-2. |
| 4. | Soyata, Tolga, "Enabling Real-Time Mobile Cloud Computing through Emerging |
| | Technologies", IGI Global, 2015, ISBN: 978-1-4666-8662-5. |

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE)

CIE will consist of TWO Tests, TWO Quizzes and ONE assignment. The test will be for 30 marks each and the quiz for 10 marks each. The assignment will be for 20 marks. The total marks for CIE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE)

The question paper will have FIVE questions with internal choice from each unit. Each question will carry 20 marks. Student will have to answer one question from each unit. The total marks for SEE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|----|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO | M | - | М | - | - | - | - | - | Η | - | - |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Н | М | L | Η | Η | M | - | М | Η | L | М |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | L | Μ | - | М | М | L | - | - | Η | М | М |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Н | L | М | М | Н | Н | - | М | Н | Н | М |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | - | L |
| CO2 | Н | L |
| CO3 | L | М |
| CO4 | Н | М |

| | | Big Data Ana | lytics | | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Course Code | : | 16MIT332/16MSE332 | CIE Marks | : | 100 |
| Hrs/Week | : | L:T:P:S 4:0:0:0 | SEE Marks | : | 100 |
| Credits | : | 4 | SEE Duration | : | 3 Hrs |
| Course Learn | ing | Objectives (CLO): | | | |
| Students shall | be a | ible to | | | |
| 1. Unders | and | l handling huge amount of data usir | ng distributed environment | • | |
| - | | rge sets of data to gain insights of th | | | |
| 11 0 | | niques to process data streams usin | | | |
| 4. Adapt o | lata | mining techniques to process mass | ive datasets | | 10 11 |
| | | Unit – I | | | 10 Hrs |
| | | Big Data Analytics: Characterist | | | |
| | | ent levels of parallelization, Had daemons, Hadoop ecosystem, HD | | | |
| | | I, MapReduce phases, combiners, P | | | mapReduce, |
| | | Unit – II | artitioners, program exam | pies. | 09 Hrs |
| Introduction | <u>.</u> | Introduction to Hive, Hive configu | ration HiszoOI Dartitions | and | |
| defined function | | | | anu | Duckets, user |
| | | g, Pig Latin, execution modes, use | er defined functions in Pi | z. da | ta processing |
| operators. | | ,, | | , | F |
| Concepts of N | DSC | QL databases. | | | |
| | | Unit – III | | | 10 Hrs |
| Introduction types, implicits | | Scala: Basics of programming w | ith Scala, classes, collect | ions, | options and |
| types, implicit | , 10 | ops, functions. | | | |
| | | Unit – IV | | | 10 Hrs |
| SPARK - I: P | rog | ramming with RDD's, creating RI | DD's, RDD operations, pa | ssing | |
| | - | nations and actions, working of pair | | | |
| | | Unit – V | | | 09 Hrs |
| Machine Lea | rni | ng with SPARK-ML2: Basics of | machine learning, work | ing | with vectors, |
| feature extra | ctic | on, regression, classification, | clustering, collaborative | - C | ltering and |
| | | | | e n | - |
| | on, | dimensionality reduction, model ev | | 5 D | |
| Course Outco | on, me | 5: | aluation. | 2 11 | |
| Course Outco After going thr | on, me oug | s: gh this course the student will be ab | aluation. | | |
| Course Outco After going thr CO1: Handle d | on, me ou§ ata | s: gh this course the student will be ab manipulations for massive datasets | aluation. le to: using distributed environi | | |
| Course Outco After going thr CO1: Handle d CO2: Gain insi | on, me ouş ata ght | s: In this course the student will be ab manipulations for massive datasets s into the patterns by processing ma | aluation. le to: using distributed environ assive datasets. | | |
| Course Outco After going thr CO1: Handle d CO2: Gain insi CO3: Impleme | on, me oug ata ght nt t | s: gh this course the student will be ab manipulations for massive datasets s into the patterns by processing ma echniques for real time processing o | aluation. le to: using distributed environ assive datasets. of data streams. | nent. | |
| Course Outco After going thr CO1: Handle d CO2: Gain insi CO3: Impleme CO4: Extract v | on, me ouş ata ght nt t | s: In this course the student will be ab manipulations for massive datasets s into the patterns by processing ma | aluation. le to: using distributed environ assive datasets. of data streams. | nent. | |
| Course Outco After going thr CO1: Handle d CO2: Gain insi CO3: Impleme CO4: Extract v Reference Boo | on, me oug ata ght nt t alu oks | s: gh this course the student will be ab manipulations for massive datasets s into the patterns by processing ma echniques for real time processing o e out of the data to make important | aluation. le to: using distributed environ assive datasets. of data streams. business decisions and acc | nent. curate | e predictions. |
| Course OutcoAfter going thrCO1: Handle dCO2: Gain insiCO3: ImplemeCO4: Extract vReference Boo1.Tom W | on, me oug ata ght nt t alu ks Thit | s: gh this course the student will be ab manipulations for massive datasets s into the patterns by processing ma echniques for real time processing o e out of the data to make important e, Hadoop: The Definitive Guide | aluation. le to: using distributed environ assive datasets. of data streams. business decisions and acc O'Reilly Publications, 4 | nent. curate | e predictions. |
| Course OutcoAfter going thrCO1: Handle dCO2: Gain insiCO3: ImplemeCO4: Extract vReference Boo1.ISBN-1 | on, me ouş ata ght nt t <u>alu</u> bks Thit | s: gh this course the student will be ab manipulations for massive datasets s into the patterns by processing ma echniques for real time processing o e out of the data to make important | aluation. le to: using distributed environ assive datasets. of data streams. business decisions and acc O'Reilly Publications, 4 0672 | nent. curate 4th e | e predictions. dition, 2015, |

| | O'Reilly Publications, 1st edition, 2015, ISBN-10: 9351109941, ISBN-13: 978- 9351109945 |
|----|--|
| 3. | Jason Swartz, Learning Scala, O'Reilly Publications, 1st edition, 2014, ISBN- 10: 9352132564, ISBN-13: 978-9352132560 |
| 4. | Seema Acharya, Subhashini Chellappan, Big Data and analytics, Wiley Publications, 2015, ISBN-10: 8126554789, ISBN-13: 978-8126554782 |

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE)

CIE will consist of TWO Tests, TWO Quizzes and ONE assignment. The test will be for 30 marks each and the quiz for 10 marks each. The assignment will be for 20 marks. The total marks for CIE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE)

The question paper will have FIVE questions with internal choice from each unit. Each question will carry 20 marks. Student will have to answer one question from each unit. The total marks for SEE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO | Η | Η | Μ | Η | Μ | - | - | L | Μ | Η | М |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Μ | М | - | Η | М | - | - | - | М | - | М |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Μ | М | - | М | Н | L | - | - | М | - | М |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Μ | М | Н | Н | Н | М | L | - | М | М | М |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | L |
| CO2 | М | М |
| CO3 | - | - |
| CO4 | Н | - |

| | | Enterprise Applica | tion Programming | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| Course Code | : | 16MSE341 | CIE Marks | : | 100 | |
| Hrs/Week | : | L:T:P:S 4:0:0:0 | SEE Marks | : | 100 | |
| Credits | : | 4 | SEE Duration | : | 3 Hi | ſS |
| Students shallComprehentApply the bAnalyze th | be a nd tl kno e W | Objectives (CLO): ble to ne metrics in Web Application I wledge of frameworks and Ente eb frameworks. olutions using Design Patterns | A | | 0 | |
| | | Unit – I | | | | 10 |
| | | and java EE 6: Exploring th | | | | Hrs |
| a servlet by us with the Http | sing se | servlet API, explaining the ser annotation, working with ser ervlet request and Http Http uest scope, implementing servl | vlet config and servlet conte servlet response interfaces, | xt ob | jects, | working |
| | | Unit – II | | | | 09 |
| Handling cos | ion | a in complet 2 0. Describing a | cossion introducing cossion | tracle | ing F | Hrs |
| the session tra application usi event handling Working with listing advanta the life cycle of | ckii ng g, w java ges of a | s in servlet 3.0: Describing a ng, mechanisms, using the java session tracking. Implementing vorking with the servlet event a server pages: Introducing JSP of JSP over java servlet, Expl JSP page, working with JSP the exploring the JSP unified EL, to be a server of the servlet of the servlet be a server be a servlet. | a servlet API for session track g event handling Introducing s, developing the online sho P technology, Exploring new loring the architecture of a JS pasic tags and implicit objects | king, event p we featu SP pag | creati ts, Intr b app tres of ge, De | ng login roducing lication. JSP2.1, escribing |
| | | Unit – III | | | | 10 |
| Implore and | т | D tog outonoicos E-mlasing | the elements of the entry | | TA7c1 -1 | Hrs |
| classic tag h Implementing libraries JSTL filters, explorit | and jav , w ng t | SP tag extensions: Exploring lers, Exploring the tag ext a server pages standard tag li orking with the core tag libra he working of filters, exploring ilters, using initializing parame | ensions, Working with sin brary 1.2: Introducing JSTL ry. Implementing filters: Exp g filters API, configuring a fi | nple , Exp plorin | tag l loring g the | nandlers. the tag need of |
| | -0 - | Unit – IV | | | | |
| | | OIIII = IV | | | | 10 |

| Persistence Management and Design Patterns: Implementing java persistence using hibernateIntroducing hibernate, exploring the architecture of hibernate, downloading hibernate, exploringHQL, understanding hibernate O/R mapping, working with hibernate, Implementing O/R mappingwith hibernate. Java EE design patterns: Describing the java EE application architecture,Introducing a design patterns, discussing the role of design patterns, exploring types of patterns.Unit – V09 |
|--|
| Unit – V Uij |
| Web Frameworks: Working with struts 2 Introducing struts 2, understanding actions in struts 2. Working with java server faces 2.0: Introducing JSF, Explaining the features of JSF, Exploring the JSF architecture, describing JSF elements, Exploring the JSF request processing life cycle. Working with spring 3.0: Introducing features of the spring framework, exploring the spring framework architecture, exploring dependency injection & inversion of control, exploring AOP with spring, managing transactions. Securing java EE 6 applications: Introducing security in java EE 6, exploring security mechanisms, implementing security on an application server. |
| Course Outcomes: |
| After going through this course the student will be able to: CO1. Enabling knowledge : Explain the protocols and systems used on the Web (such as XHTML,HTTP, URLs, CSS, SSI, XML) and the functions of clients and servers on the Web for internet application concepts, relevant alternatives. |
| CO2. Develop project management skills related to web development, such as: Gather data to identify customer requirements, Define scope work, Select programming languages and tools, Evaluate web technologies and standards, Define security measures, Review technical considerations and constraints of projects. |
| CO3. Critical analysis : Analyse and model requirements and constraints for the design of client-server internet applications. |
| CO4. Problem solving : Design and implement client-server internet applications using Servlets, JSPs and JSFs to build a web application for the enterprise, performing unit, integration testing and Manage deployment configurations |
| CO5. Communicate effectively to a wide variety of audiences, verbally, in writing, and electronically by: |
| Documenting application/website changes, Preparing and presenting functional and technical specifications, Evaluating and recommending web hardware, software and third party solutions, Providing quality customer service. |
| Reference Books |
| 1. Kogent learning solution, Java Server Programming Java Ee7 J2ee 1.7, Dreamtech press, 2015. ISBN-13: 9789351194170 |
| Cary E. Umrysh, Khawar Zaman Ahmed, Developing Enterprise Java Applications With J2EE(TM) And UML - Best Practices And Design Strategies, Addison-Wesley Professional, ISBN-13: 9780201738292 |
| John Brock Arun Gupta, Greertan Wielenga, Java Ee & Html5 Enterprise Application Development, Tata Mcgraw Hill Publishing Co Ltd, 2015-06. ISBN-13: 9789339222321 |
| Gerald Gierer ," Enterprise Application Development with Ext JS and Spring ", Packt Publishing 2013 ISBN-13: 97823401738292 |
| |

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE)

CIE will consist of TWO Tests, TWO Quizzes and ONE assignment. The test will be for 30 marks each and the quiz for 10 marks each. The assignment will be for 20 marks. The total marks for CIE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE)

The question paper will have FIVE questions with internal choice from each unit. Each question will carry 20 marks. Student will have to answer one question from each unit. The total marks for SEE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

| wiap | Ding of | Course | Julcon | ies (CO) | to Prog | ram Ot | ucomes | 5 (PU) | | | |
|------|---------|--------|--------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----|------|------|
| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
| CO | H | Μ | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Н | | | М | Н | M | M | | | Н | Н |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Η | Н | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | Н | | Η | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | | | | | | | | Н | М | М | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Specific Outcomes (PSO)

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|-------------|------|------|
| CO1 | L | L |
| CO 2 | М | М |
| CO3 | Н | М |
| CO4 | Η | Н |

| | Agil | e Methodology | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| : | 16MSE342 | C | IE Marks | : | 100 |
| : | L:T:P:S 4:0:0:0 | SI | EE Marks | : | 100 |
| : | 4 | SI | EE Duration | : | 3 Hrs |
| | : | : 16MSE342 : L:T:P:S 4 :0 :0 :0 | : L:T:P:S 4:0:0 :0 SI | : 16MSE342 CIE Marks : L:T:P:S 4:0:0:0 SEE Marks | : 16MSE342 CIE Marks : : L:T:P:S 4:0:0:0 SEE Marks : |

Course Learning Objectives (CLO):

Students shall be able to

- **1.** Comprehend an iterative, incremental development process leads to faster delivery of more useful software.
- **2.** Apply the principles and practices of extreme programming.
- 3. Analyze the essence of agile development methods.
- 4. Develop prototyping in the software process.

Unit – I

10 Hrs

| | e Agile Movement - A Five Minute Primer, What is Agile Development? Th | e Agile |
|---|--|---|
| | thodologies Agile Values, Agile Practices, Agile Principles | |
| Agi | le Characteristics-The Characteristics of an Agile Project, The Development Team | Project |
| Ma | nagement, The Customer, Processes and Tools The Contract, What Projects Can Bene | efit from |
| Agi | le Development? | |
| | Unit – II | 09 Hrs |
| The | e Agile Methodologies: Common Themes, Methodology Descriptions, Extreme Progra | amming, |
| Scr | um, Feature Driven Development, The Crystal Methodologies, Adaptive S | Software |
| Dev | velopment, Dynamic Systems Development Method, Lean Software Development, | Starting |
| Mo | nday: Investigate Further | |
| Sel | ecting an Approach that Fits: Choosing between an Agile or Traditional Approach, S | Selecting |
| the | Right Agile Approach | 0 |
| | Unit – III | 10 Hrs |
| Go | ing Agile: Is the Team Ready? Announcing the Team's Intention to Go Agile, Encou | intering, |
| Ad | dressing and Overcoming Resistance, Start with the Bare Minimum, Altering the | Project |
| | vironment, Iteration Zero, Discontinue a Process Once its Served its Purpose, Fals | - |
| | ctitioners and Projects, Starting Monday: Measuring The Team's Progress. | 0 |
| | Unit – IV | 10 Hrs |
| Agi | le Practices: Getting Started, Agile Practices Explained, Selecting the Next Practice, R | lejecting |
| a P | ractice, Adopt Practices before Tools Learn Programming Practices in Pairs, Agile Prac | ctices in |
| this | Book Agile Practices Explained, Why these Practices were Chosen | |
| | | |
| 1 | Unit – V | 09 Hrs |
| Tes | Unit – V ting : An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I | |
| | | Defense, |
| Sha | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I | Defense, |
| Sha Pro | ting : An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with | Defense, |
| Sha Pro Cou | ting : An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team | Defense, |
| Sha Pro Con Aft | ting : An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team arse Outcomes: | Defense, |
| Sha Pro Cou Aft CO | ting : An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team irse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: | Defense, |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO | ting : An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I uring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team trse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. | Defense, another |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO | ting : An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team trse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. | Defense, another |
| Sha Pro Cou Aft CO CO CO | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I uring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team urse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven | Defense, another process |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO CO | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team Irse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. | Defense, another process |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO CO | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team arse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. 4: Determine software project characteristics that would be suitable for an agile process | Defense, another process |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO CO CO Ref | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team irse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. 4: Determine software project characteristics that would be suitable for an agile process Ference Books | Defense, another process |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO CO CO Ref | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team arse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. 4: Determine software project characteristics that would be suitable for an agile process ference Books Ken Schwaber And Mike Beedle, Agile Software Development With Scrum, | Defense, another process Pearson |
| Sha Pro Cou Aft CO CO CO CO Ref 1 | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team arse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. 4: Determine software project characteristics that would be suitable for an agile process ference Books Ken Schwaber And Mike Beedle, Agile Software Development With Scrum, Education, 2015. ISBN-13: 9780132074896 | Defense, another process Pearson |
| Sha Pro Cou Aft CO CO CO CO Ref 1 | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I ring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team irse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. 4: Determine software project characteristics that would be suitable for an agile process Ference Books Ken Schwaber And Mike Beedle, Agile Software Development With Scrum, Education, 2015. ISBN-13: 9780132074896 Peter Schuh, Integrating Agile Development In The Real World (Charles River | Defense, another process Pearson r Media |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO CO CO Ref 1 2 | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I aring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team arse Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. 4: Determine software project characteristics that would be suitable for an agile process Ference Books Ken Schwaber And Mike Beedle, Agile Software Development With Scrum, Education, 2015. ISBN-13: 9780132074896 Peter Schuh, Integrating Agile Development In The Real World (Charles River Programming), 2004 Cengage Learning, ISBN-13: 9781584503644 | Defense, another process Pearson r Media |
| Sha Pro Con Aft CO CO CO CO Ref 1 | ting :An Agile Approach to Testing, The Good Enough Approach Testing as the Best I tring a Code Base with another Project Team, Sharing Common Components with ject Team, Depending upon Code or Components Produced by Another Project Team tree Outcomes: er going through this course the student will be able to: 1: Comprehend the common characteristics of an agile development process. 2: Identify and contrast state of the practice agile methodologies. 3: Analyze and contrast agile software development process models and plan driven models. 4: Determine software project characteristics that would be suitable for an agile process Ference Books Ken Schwaber And Mike Beedle, Agile Software Development With Scrum, Education, 2015. ISBN-13: 9780132074896 Peter Schuh, Integrating Agile Development In The Real World (Charles River Programming), 2004 Cengage Learning, ISBN-13: 9781584503644 Alistair Cockburn, Agile Software Development: The Cooperative Game, Pearson Education and the suitable Software Development and the suitable Software Development Charles River Programming), 2004 Cengage Learning, ISBN-13: 9781584503644 | Defense, another process Pearson r Media lucation, |

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE)

CIE will consist of TWO Tests, TWO Quizzes and ONE assignment. The test will be for 30 marks each and the quiz for 10 marks each. The assignment will be for 20 marks. The total marks for CIE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

Scheme of Semester End Examination (SEE)

The question paper will have FIVE questions with internal choice from each unit. Each question will carry 20 marks. Student will have to answer one question from each unit. The total marks for SEE (Theory) will be 100 marks.

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO 1 | М | Н | М | L | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CO 2 | М | Н | Н | Μ | - | - | - | М | L | - | L |
| CO 3 | Н | Μ | Η | Μ | - | - | L | L | - | - | - |
| CO 4 | L | Н | Н | Н | - | - | М | L | - | - | - |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | М | Н |
| CO2 | М | Н |
| CO3 | Н | L |
| CO4 | М | М |

| INTERNSHIP / INDUSTRIAL TRAINING | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------|--------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Course Code:16MSE35CIE Marks:100 | | | | | | | | |
| Hrs/Week | Hrs/Week : L:T:P:S 0:0:6:0 SEE Marks : 100 | | | | | | | |
| Credits : 3 SEE Duration : 30 mins | | | | | | | | |
| | | GUIDELIN | ES FOR INTER | RNSHIP | | | | |

Course Learning Objectives (CLO):

The students shall be able to:

- 1. Understand the process of applying engineering knowledge to produce product and provide services.
- 2. Explain the importance of management and resource utilization
- 3. Comprehend the importance of team work, protection of environment and sustainable solutions.
- 4. Imbibe values, professional ethics for life long learning.
- 1) The duration of the internship shall be for a period of 8 weeks on full time basis between II semester final exams and beginning of III semester.
- 2) The student must submit letters from the industry clearly specifying his / her name and the duration of the internship on the company letter head with authorized signature.
- 3) Internship must be related to the field of specialization or the M.Tech program in which the student has enrolled.
- 4) Students undergoing internship training are advised to use ICT tools such as skype to report their progress and submission of periodic progress reports to the faculty members.
- 5) Every student has to write and submit his/her own internship report to the designated faculty.
- 6) Students have to make a presentation on their internship activities in front of the departmental committee and only upon approval of the presentation should the student proceed to prepare and submit the hard copy of the internship final report. However interim or periodic reports and reports as required by the industry / organization can be submitted as per the format acceptable to the respective industry /organizations.
- 7) The reports shall be printed on bond paper 80GSM, back to back print, with soft binding A4 size with 1.5 spacing and times new roman font size 12.
- 8) The broad format of the internship final report shall be as follows
 - Cover Page
 - Certificate from College
 - Certificate from Industry / Organization
 - Acknowledgement
 - Synopsis
 - Table of Contents
 - Chapter 1 Profile of the Organization Organizational structure, Products, Services, Business Partners, Financials, Manpower, Societal Concerns, Professional Practices,
 - Chapter 2 Activities of the Department -
 - Chapter 3 Tasks Performed summaries the tasks performed during 8 week period
 - Chapter 4 Reflections Highlight specific technical and soft skills that you acquired during internship
 - References & Annexure

Course Outcomes:

After going through the internship the student will be able to:

CO1: Apply engineering and management principles

CO2: Analyze real-time problems and suggest alternate solutions

CO3: Communicate effectively and work in teams

CO4: Imbibe the practice of professional ethics and need for lifelong learning.

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE):

A committee comprising of the Head of the Department / Associate Dean, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor and Guide would review the presentation and the progress reports in two phases. The evaluation criteria shall be as per the rubrics given below:

Scheme for Semester End Evaluation (SEE):

The evaluation will be done by ONE senior faculty from the department and ONE external faculty member from Academia / Industry / Research Organization. The following weightages would be given for the examination. Evaluation will be done in batches, not exceeding 6 students.

- (1) Explanation of the application of engineering knowledge in industries 35%
- (2) Ability to comprehend the functioning of the organization/ departments
- (3) Importance of resource management, environment and sustainability 25%
- (4) Presentation Skills and Report

20%

20%

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | 0 | | | | 0 | | · · | | | | |
|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
| CO1 | | M | Η | М | | М | | | | L | |
| CO2 | | | | Н | M | М | | L | | | |
| CO3 | | | | | L | | M | Н | Η | | |
| CO4 | | | | | L | | H | | | М | Η |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Specific Outcomes (PSO)

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | |
| CO2 | L | L |
| CO3 | | М |
| CO4 | М | Н |

GUIDELINES FOR INDUSTRIAL TRAINING

Course Learning Objectives (CLO):

The students shall be able to:

- 1. Understand the process of applying engineering knowledge to industrial products & processes
- 2. Explain the importance of skilling, training and resource management.
- 3. Comprehend the importance of team work, communication and sustainable solutions.
- 4. Imbibe values, professional ethics for life long learning.

- 1) The duration of industrial training must be for a minimum of 1 week and maximum of 8 weeks on full time basis.
- 2) Industrial Training in which students pays a fee to the organization / industry will not be considered.
- 3) He/she can undergo training in one or more industry /organization.
- 4) The student must submit letters from the industry clearly specifying his / her name and the duration of the training provided by the company with authorized signatures.
- 5) Industrial training must be related to the field of specialization or the M.Tech program in which the student has enrolled.
- 6) Students undergoing industrial training are advised to use ICT tools such as skype to report their progress and submission of periodic progress reports to the faculty members.
- 7) Every student has to write and submit his/her own industrial training report to the designated faculty.
- 8) Students have to make a presentation on their industrial training in front of the departmental committee and only upon approval of the presentation should the student proceed to prepare and submit the hard copy of the final report.
- 9) The reports shall be printed on bond paper 80GSM, back to back print, with soft binding A4 size with 1.5 spacing and times new roman font size 12.
- 10) The broad format of the industrial training report shall be as follows
 - Cover Page
 - Certificate from College
 - Training Certificate from Industry / Organization
 - Acknowledgement
 - Executive Summary
 - Table of Contents
 - Chapter 1 Profile of the Organization –Organizational structure, Products, Services, Business Partners, Financials, Manpower, Societal Concerns, Professional Practices
 - Chapter 2 Details of the Training Modules
 - Chapter 3 Reflections Highlight specific technical and soft skills that you acquired References & Annexure

Course Outcomes:

After going through the industrial training the student will be able to:

- CO1: Understand the process of applying engineering knowledge to solve industrial problems
- CO2: Develop skills through training relevant to industrial requirement
- CO3: Communicate effectively and work in teams
- CO4: Imbibe ethical practices and develop it as life skill.

25%

30%

20%

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE):

A committee comprising of Head of the Department / Associate Dean, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor and Guide would review the presentation and the progress reports in two phases. The evaluation criteria shall be as per the rubrics given below:

Scheme for Semester End Evaluation (SEE):

The evaluation will be done by ONE senior faculty from the department and ONE external faculty member from Academia / Industry / Research Organization. The following weightages would be given for the examination. Evaluation will be done in batches, not exceeding 6 students.

- (1) Explanation on the application of engineering knowledge 25%
- (2) Ability to comprehend the importance of skilling and training
- (3) Importance of communication, professional ethics, sustainability
- (4) Oral Presentation and Report

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | | Μ | Η | М | | М | | | | L | |
| CO2 | | | | Н | M | М | | L | | | |
| CO3 | | | | | L | | M | Η | Η | | |
| CO4 | | | | | L | | Н | | | М | Н |

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | |
| CO2 | L | L |
| CO3 | | М |
| CO4 | М | Н |

| GUIDELINES FOR INDUSTRIAL VISITS |
|--|
| Course Learning Objectives (CLO): |
| The students shall be able to: |
| 1. Understand the role of industries and service organization in meeting the demands of |
| the society. |
| 2. Explain the working of different industries and organizations with an engineering |
| perspective3. Comprehend the importance of team work, communication and sustainable solutions. |
| Comprehend the importance of team work, communication and sustainable solutions. Imbibe values, professional ethics for life long learning. |
| Student must visit a minimum of THREE organizations/industry. The duration of the visit per |
| |
| organization must be for ONE full day, during which he/she must comprehend the importance |
| of organization structure, function of various departments, application of engineering |
| knowledge, resource management, importance to environment and safety, professional ethics. |
| 2) It is mandatory to visit ONE private multi-national company or public sector industry / |
| organization, ONE medium-small enterprise and ONE rural based or NG organization. |
| 3) The student must submit letter from the industry clearly specifying his / her name and the date |
| of visit to the industry with authorized signatures. |
| 4) Industrial visit must be related to the field of specialization or the M.Tech program in which |
| the student has enrolled. |
| 5) Every student has to write and submit his/her own report on each industrial visit and submit |
| the report to the designated faculty advisor for evaluation. |
| 6) A photograph outside the industry with the name and logo of the industry in the background |
| along with the students and faculty members could be included in the report. |
| 7) Students have to make a presentation on their industrial visit in front of the departmental |
| committee and only upon approval of the presentation should the student proceed to prepare |
| and submit the hard copy of the final report. |
| 8) The reports shall be printed on bond paper – 80GSM, back to back print, with soft binding – |
| A4 size with 1.5 spacing and times new roman font size 12. |
| 9) The broad format of the industrial visit report shall be as follows |
| Cover Page Cortificate from College |
| Certificate from College A cluster relationment |
| Acknowledgement Summary |
| Synopsis / Executive Summary Table of Contents |
| Table of Contents Charter 1 - Drafile of the DSU or MNC - must include Organizational structure |
| • Chapter 1 - Profile of the PSU or MNC – must include Organizational structure, |
| Products, Services, Financials, Manpower, Societal Concerns, Professional Practices Chapter 2 – Profile of the SME – must include Organizational structure, Products, |
| Services, Financials, Manpower, Societal Concerns, Professional Practices |
| • Chapter 3 - Profile of the NGO – must include Organizational structure, services, |
| Manpower, Societal Concerns, Professional Practices |
| Chapter 4 – Comparative Analysis of PSU/MNC – SME – NGO Defense as 8 American letters from the comparison for the crisit 8 |
| • References & Annexure (Permission letters from the organizations for the visit & |

photographs)

Course Outcomes:

After going through this course the student will be able to:

- CO1: Classify the role of different industries and organization in addressing the needs of the society.
- CO2: Explain the process of applying engineering knowledge in industries and organizations.
- CO3: Describe the importance of communication and team work
- CO4: Recognize the importance of practicing professional ethics and need for life skills.

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE):

A committee comprising of Head of the Department / Associate Dean, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor and Guide would review the presentation and the progress reports in two phases. The evaluation criteria shall be as per the rubrics given below:

Scheme for Semester End Evaluation (SEE):

The evaluation will be done by ONE senior faculty from the department and ONE external faculty member from Academia / Industry / Research Organization. The following weightages would be given for the examination. Evaluation will be done in batches, not exceeding 6 students.

- (1) Explanation of the application of engineering knowledge in industries 25%
- (2) Ability to comprehend the functioning of the organization/ departments 30%
- (3) Importance of resource management, environment and sustainability 20%
- (4) Presentation Skills and Report

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | | M | Н | М | | М | | | | L | |
| CO2 | | | | Н | M | М | | L | | | |
| CO3 | | | | | L | | M | Η | Η | | |
| CO4 | | | | | L | | Н | | | М | Н |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Specific Outcomes (PSO)

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | |
| CO2 | L | L |
| CO3 | | М |
| CO4 | М | Н |

| | TECH | NICAL SEMINA | R | | |
|---------------|---------|--------------|------------------|---|----|
| Course Code : | 16MSE36 | | CIE Marks | : | 50 |

25%

| Hrs/Week | : | L:T:P:S | 0:0:4:0 | SEE Marks | 50 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|---|--------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Credits | : | 2 | | SEE Duration | 30 min | | | | |
| Course Learning Objectives (CLO): The students shall be able to: Understand the technological developments in their chosen field of interest Explain the scope of work and challenges in the domain area Analyze these engineering developments in the context of sustainability and societal concerns. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Improve | e hi | s/her presentation skills a | and technical repo | ort writing skills | | | | | |
| | | G | JUIDELINES | | | | | | |
| 1) The presentation will have to be done by individual students. 2) The topic of the seminar must be in one of the thrust areas with in-depth review and analysis on a current topic that is relevant to industry or on-going research. 3) The topic could be an extension or complementary to the project 4) The student must be able to highlight or relate these technological developments with sustainability and societal relevance. 5) Each student must submit both hard and soft copies of the presentation. | | | | | | | | | |
| CO1:Identify to CO2: Perform s CO3: Enhance | pug pic surv pres | : h this course the student is that are relevant to the rey and review relevant i sentation skills and repor rnative solutions which a | present context o nformation to the t writing skills. | | | | | | |

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE): Evaluation would be carried out in TWO phases. The evaluation committee shall comprise of Head of the Department / Associate Dean, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor and Guide. The evaluation criteria shall be as per the rubrics given below:

Scheme for Semester End Evaluation (SEE):

The evaluation will be done by ONE senior faculty from the department and ONE external faculty member from Academia / Industry / Research Organization. The following weightages would be given for the examination. Evaluation will be done in batches, not exceeding 6 students.

Rubrics for Evaluation:

| 1) Topic – Technical Relevance, Sustainability and Societal Concerns | 15% |
|--|-----|
| 2) Review of literature | 25% |
| 3) Presentation Skills | 35% |
| 4) Report | 25% |
| Janning of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO) | |

Department of Information Science and Engineering Software Engineering

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | | Н | М | М | L | Н | Н | | | | М |
| CO2 | L | М | | | | | | | | Н | |
| CO3 | | | | | | | L | М | Н | | |
| CO4 | | L | М | | Н | Н | | | | | Н |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Specific Outcomes (PSO)

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|------------|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | L |
| CO2 | М | Н |
| CO3 | М | L |
| CO4 | Н | L |

IV SEMESTER

| MAJOR PROJECT | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------|----------|--------------|---|---------|--|
| Course Code : 16MSE41 CIE Marks : 100 | | | | | | | |
| Hrs/Week | : | L:T:P:S | 0:0:52:0 | SEE Marks | : | 100 | |
| Credits | : | 26 | | SEE Duration | : | 3 Hours | |

Course Learning Objectives:

The students shall be able to

- 1. Understand the method of applying engineering knowledge to solve specific problems.
- 2. Apply engineering and management principles while executing the project
- 3. Demonstrate good verbal presentation and technical report writing skills.
- 4. Identify and solve complex engineering problems using professionally prescribed standards.

GUIDELINES

- 1. Major project will have to be done by only one student in his/her area of interest.
- 2. Each student has to select a contemporary topic that will use the technical knowledge of their program of specialization.
- 3. Allocation of the guides preferably in accordance with the expertise of the faculty.
- 4. The number of projects that a faculty can guide would be limited to three.
- 5. The project can be carried out on-campus or in an industry or an organization with prior approval from the Head of the Department.
- 6. The standard duration of the project is for 16 weeks, however if the guide and the evaluation committee of the department, after the assessment feel that the work is insufficient and it has to be extended, then the student will have to continue as per the directions of the guide and the committee.
- 7. It is mandatory for the student to present his/her work in one of the international conferences or publish the research finding in a reputed unpaid journal with impact factor.

Course Outcomes:

After going through this course the students will be able to

- CO1: Conceptualize, design and implement solutions for specific problems.
- CO2: Communicate the solutions through presentations and technical reports.
- CO3: Apply project and resource managements skills, professional ethics, societal concerns
- CO4: Synthesize self-learning, sustainable solutions and demonstrate life long learning

Scheme of Continuous Internal Examination (CIE)

Evaluation will be carried out in THREE Phases. The evaluation committee will comprise of: guide, two senior faculty members, one industry member and Head of the Department.

Department of Information Science and Engineering Software Engineering

| Phase | Activity | Weightage |
|-----------------------|---|-----------|
| Ι | Synopsis, Preliminary report for the approval of selected topic along | 20% |
| 5 th week | with literature survey, objectives and methodology. | 2070 |
| II | Mid-term progress review shall check the compliance with the | |
| 10 th week | objectives and methodology presented in Phase I, review the work | 40% |
| | performed. | |
| III | Oral presentation, demonstration and submission of project report. | |
| 15 th week | After this presentation, the student will have one week time to | 400/ |
| | correct / modify his report to address the issues raised by the | 40% |
| | committee members. | |

CIE Evaluation shall be done with marks distribution as follows:

| Selection of the topic & formulation of objectives | 10% |
|---|-----|
| Design and simulation/ algorithm development/experimental setup | 25% |
| Conducting experiments / implementation / testing / analysis | 25% |
| Demonstration & Presentation | 20% |
| Report writing | 20% |

Scheme for Semester End Evaluation (SEE):

The evaluation will be done by ONE senior faculty from the department and ONE external faculty member from Academia / Industry / Research Organization. The following weightages would be given for the examination. Evaluation will be done in batches, not exceeding 6 students.

| 1. | Brief write-up about the project | 5% | |
|----|--|-----|-----|
| 2. | Formulation of Project Objectives & Methodology | | 20% |
| 3. | Experiments / Analysis Performed; Results & Discussion | | 25% |
| 4. | Report | | 20% |
| 5. | Viva Voce | 30% | |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO | H | Η | H | М | L | M | L | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | | | | L | | | | М | Н | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | | | | | L | M | M | | | Н | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO | | | | | L | M | Н | М | | | Н |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | L |

| CO2 | L | Н |
|-----|---|---|
| CO3 | М | Н |
| CO4 | Н | Н |

| SEMINAR | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|---------|---------|--------------|---|--------|--|--|
| Course Code | : | 16MSE42 | | CIE Marks | : | 50 | | |
| Hrs/Week | : | L:T:P:S | 0:0:4:0 | SEE Marks | | 50 | | |
| Credits | : | 2 | | SEE Duration | | 30 min | | |

Course Learning Objectives (CLO):

The students shall be able to:

- 1. Understand the technological developments in their chosen field of interest
- 2. Explain the scope of work and challenges in the domain area
- 3. Analyze these engineering developments in the context of sustainability, societal concerns and project management.
- 4. Improve his/her verbal presentation and report writing skills

GUIDELINES

- 1) The presentation will have to be done by individual students.
- 2) The topic of the seminar must be in one of the thrust areas with in-depth review and analysis on a current topic that is relevant to industry or on-going research.
- 3) The topic could be an extension or complementary to the project topic.
- 4) Topics could be in multidisciplinary areas and strongly address the technical design issues.
- 5) The student must be able to highlight or relate these technological developments with sustainability and societal relevance.
- 6) The students must mandatorily address legal, ethical issues as related to the topic of study.
- 7) The student shall make an attempt to perform financial / cost analysis or apply project management tools as related to his/her topic of study.
- 8) Each student must submit both hard and soft copies of the presentation.

Course Outcomes:

After going through this course the student will be able to:

- CO1: Identify topics that are relevant in the present context of the world and relate it to sustainability and societal relevance.
- CO2: Perform literature/market/product survey and analyse information to the field of study.
- CO3: Enhance presentation and report writing skills.
- CO4: Develop creative thinking abilities.

Scheme of Continuous Internal Evaluation (CIE): Evaluation would be carried out in TWO phases. The evaluation committee shall comprise of TWO senior faculty members. The evaluation criteria shall be as per the rubrics given below:

Scheme for Semester End Evaluation (SEE):

The evaluation will be done by ONE senior faculty from the department and ONE external faculty member from Academia / Industry / Research Organization. The following weightages would be given for the examination. Evaluation will be done in batches, not exceeding 6 students.

Rubrics for Evaluation:

| 1) Topic – Technical Relevance, Sustainability and Societal Concerns | 15% |
|--|-----|
| 2) Literature Review | 25% |
| 3) Presentation Skills | 35% |
| 4) Report | 25% |
| | |

Mapping of Course Outcomes (CO) to Program Outcomes (PO)

| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 |
|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | | Η | М | Μ | L | Н | Η | | | | М |
| CO2 | L | М | | | | | | | | Н | |
| CO3 | | | | | | | L | М | Н | | |
| CO4 | | L | М | | Н | Н | | | | | Н |

| | PSO1 | PSO2 |
|-----|------|------|
| CO1 | Н | L |
| CO2 | М | Н |
| CO3 | М | L |
| CO4 | Н | L |